



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300
SEARS TOWER
CHICAGO IL 60606

COPY MAILED

JUN 09 2008

In re Application of :
Eryurek et al. :
Application No. 10/812, 752 : **DECISION ON PETITION**
Filed: March 30, 2004 :
Attorney Docket No. 30203,37866 :

This is a decision on the petition, filed May 15, 2008, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.181 (no fee) requesting withdrawal of the holding of abandonment in the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application was held abandoned for failure to timely pay the issue and publication fees on or before April 3, 2008, as required by the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice), mailed

Petitioner asserts that the Notice dated January 3, 2008 was not received.

A review of the written record indicates no irregularity in the mailing of the Office action, and, in the absence of any irregularity, there is a strong presumption that the Office action was properly mailed to the practitioner at the address of record. This presumption may be overcome by a showing that the Office action was not in fact received. In this regard, the showing required to establish the failure to receive the Office action must consist of the following:

1. a statement from practitioner stating that the Office action was not received by the practitioner. The statement should also describe the system used for recording an Office action received at the correspondence address of record and establish that the docketing system was sufficiently reliable;
2. a statement from the practitioner attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office action was not received; and
3. a copy of the master docket for the firm docket record where the nonreceived Office action would have been entered had it been received must be attached to and referenced in the practitioner's statement. If no master docket exists, the practitioner should so state and provide other evidence such, as but not limited: to the application

file jacket, incoming mail log; calendar; reminder system or individual docket record for the application in question

See MPEP § 711.03(c) under subheading "Petition to Withdraw Holding of Abandonment Based on Failure to Receive Office Action," and "Withdrawing the Holding of Abandonment When Office Actions Are Not Received," 1156 Official Gazette 53 (November 16, 1993).

The petition satisfies the above-stated requirements. Accordingly, the application was not abandoned in fact.

In view of the above, the Notice of Abandonment is hereby vacated and the holding of abandonment withdrawn.

This application is being referred to the Technology Center AU 2121 technical support staff for re-mailing the Notice of Allowability and the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due of . The period for paying the issue and publication fees and submitting corrected drawings will be reset to expire three (3) months from the date the Notices are re-mailed. This period is not extendable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136.



Charlema Grant
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions